

In the Supreme Court of British Columbia  
Between

Samuel Michael Frank, petitioner  
and  
BC Attorney General, respondent

Jeffrey Alan Bodner

I, Jeff Bodner, affirm that:

I have personal knowledge of the facts and matters herein referred to by me except where indicated to be on information and belief, and where so stated I verily believe them to be true.

I have been a citizen of Canada since my birth.

I continue to exercise good faith in my allegiance to Her Majesty Elizabeth II, Queen of Canada.

I served as an officer of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police from April 18, 1982 until my retirement on September 15, 2012.

For most citizens of Canada, allegiance to Her Majesty means honouring her laws, but as an RCMP officer, I had the additional role of respecting her authority. Despite no longer being an officer, I continue to regard the authority of Her Majesty as having a special importance to me that most other Canadians who have not served on her behalf might not feel. I remain willingly at her command.

Having acted as a law enforcement official on behalf of Her Majesty's government of Canada, I have always had the duty to assure to the best of my ability that citizens of Canada and other residents or visitors are protected by the law and that peace and good governance is allowed to flourish in the nation. Fair and accountable legislation are a crucial aspect of achieving this end. For democracy to be served, I believe that citizens must feel that their laws are fair and that they are consulted in the determination of those laws. It is because I have always believed that the Canadian government held these goals as crucial priorities that I was willing to serve on behalf of the Canadian government as a law enforcement officer. I am proud of the service I have given.

I have found that the requirement for a member of the Interactive Sovereign Society, such as the petitioner, to adhere to the society's principles assures at least as much fairness, security, and accountability in that person's relations with me or any other citizen of Canada as the requirement for a citizen of Canada to adhere to the laws created by the Crown's legislative assemblies. In my continued respect for Her Majesty's authority, I see it as my duty to assure that this is the case. I believe that the democratic facilities of the Interactive Sovereign Society offer me fair and effective means to do so.

The petitioner's decision to rescind his allegiance to Her Majesty was made with no malice or prejudice. It is a form of conscientious civil disobedience to assert the rights that he sees written in the law, law formed under principles that recognize the supremacy of God and the rule of law, as being guaranteed.

I became a member of the Interactive Sovereign Society in 2014, and thus I am bound both by its laws and also by the laws of the Crown.

I plan to be available to serve as a panel chair for the Interactive Sovereign Society judiciary. If the petitioner, or any other member of the society that relies on the precedent set by the petitioner's proceedings, is believed to have acted unlawfully at any time after the court has ordered his rights to be respected as asked in these proceedings, then I shall be available to conduct a judicial inquiry into his actions and see that the proper remedies are undergone. I believe that any failure to adhere to such remedies as ordered certainly renders null and void the use of this society's democratic structure as a means for cessation of the denial of section 3 Charter rights and thus the violator must subsequently respect the authority and honour the laws of the Crown.

The right to vote in an election of members of a legislative assembly is never denied for any period of time for an Interactive Sovereign Society member, unless that member has laws imposed upon Her or Him by another institution that denies this right for periods of time.

Having observed the laws and judicial practices of the Interactive Sovereign Society agreed upon by its members using an interactive electoral system to choose their legislative representatives, I have found no justification as to why denying the right to vote in an election of members of a legislative assembly for any period of time might be considered a reasonable limit in a free and democratic society.

Since the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms is the highest law in Canada that has received Her Majesty's assent with respect for the supremacy of God, it is my duty in serving Her Majesty and God to assure to the best of my abilities that the rights guaranteed in that Charter are never denied or infringed in Canada. That is my reason for offering my testimony in these proceedings. I sincerely believe that the petitioner is only asserting the rights that have been guaranteed by Her Majesty in respect of God's supremacy, and thus as the law is written, I believe the petitioner is entitled to the remedy he seeks. In particular, his entitlement to this remedy is warranted because he is not seeking it for his own benefit, but rather he is compelled by his conscience to seek this remedy for the precedent it sets to provide a more democratic society for Canadians.