

In the Supreme Court of British Columbia  
Between

and

Samuel Michael Frank, petitioner  
BC Attorney General, respondent

Sam Frank  
#209 - 1422 E. 3rd Ave  
Vancouver, BC  
V5N 5R5

I, Sam Frank, affirm that:

I was born as a citizen of the nation of Canada.

In the year 2006, I devised a new form of election, an interactive electoral system, meaning that each voter has one vote to participate in the selection of a representative for their constituency and may cast that vote at any time that the voter chooses and change that vote at any subsequent time.

I exercised good faith in my allegiance to Her Majesty Elizabeth II for the duration of my life up until February, 2011 at which time I notified Her Majesty by mail that I was rescinding my allegiance with the intention of demonstrating, perpetuating, and respecting the choices made with the use of an interactive electoral system as a sovereign individual.

Since rescinding my allegiance to Her Majesty, I have been responsible to have my conduct constrained by the laws chosen by the members of the Interactive Sovereign Society, a sovereign democratic society with a legislative assembly which never denies the right to vote in an election of its members for any period of time, as per its constitution.

At such time that it is confirmed that my right to vote in an election of the members of a legislative assembly will not be denied by the Crown for any periods of time without demonstrable justification, then my allegiance to Her Majesty Elizabeth II will once again stand in good faith, with gratitude and humility.

In June, 2012, I filed an application in Federal Court, under file number T-1286-12, as "a sovereign individual known as psam of paternal lineage known as frank, previously known by the legal name Samuel Michael Frank, also known as 'the sovereign' for the purposes of this application, all of which may be abbreviated 'Sovereign - psam: frank'", and the application was accepted by the registry for commencement of proceedings.

I was personally addressed as a sovereign individual, by the above designation, by the Federal Court Registry Officer while affirming testimony for the above proceedings.

I discontinued the above application with the intention of instead handling the matter in Provincial Supreme Court, which is the purpose of the present proceedings.

Having seen the effects of an interactive electoral system upon its participants for several years, I have come to the conviction that people have their motivation, confidence, and faith eroded by

being forced to make their choices as to who is selected to represent their government at prescribed periodic occasions instead of being given the means to express this choice at a time of their own individual choosing after being informed of all the relevant considerations to their satisfaction; I have seen participation in an interactive electoral system restore motivation, confidence, and faith that has been eroded in this way.

After several years of experience as a participant in an interactive electoral system, to my knowledge, no demonstration has been made to justify how it might be considered a reasonable limit to deny the right to vote in an election of the members of a legislative assembly to whose laws a voter is responsible for any period of time.

The remedy asked in these proceedings completely resolves any issues I have with my government, and no further remedies of any sort shall be asked.