

Sam Frank
#204 - 2222 Cambridge St
Vancouver BC
V5L 1E6

The Honourable Kerry-Lynne D. Findlay, P.C., Q.C., M.P.
Minister of National Revenue
7th Floor
555 MacKenzie Avenue
Ottawa ON K1A 0L5

cc: The Honourable Murray Rankin
Opposition Critic for National Revenue
House of Commons
Ottawa ON K1A 0A6

Honourable Minister,

I am writing to inform you of my situation at present in relation to the Canada Revenue Agency as it relates to my rights under section 3 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms ("the Charter").

Section 3 of the Charter states that every citizen of Canada has the right to vote in an election of members of a legislative assembly. Every legislative assembly in Canada provides the means to exercise this right on one arbitrarily chosen date and then no means is provided to exercise this right for several years. This is an unnecessary denial of this right, as illustrated by a society, of which I am a member, which has a legislative assembly for which section 3 Charter rights are available to be exercised by every member of the society at any time that she or he wishes.

I refer to the method of allowing a voter to cast her or his vote for a candidate for a legislative assembly at any time that the voter wishes and then change it at any time thereafter as an *interactive electoral system* (IES). The right guaranteed in section 3 of the Charter is thus never denied for any period of time. One society presently using this system is the Interactive Sovereign Society (ISS). This society has now existed in Canada for over four years.

During the time of the society's existence and its usage of the interactive electoral system, no justification has been demonstrated by members or observers for the right to vote in an election of members of a legislative assembly to be denied for any period of time. It does not appear from experience thus far as if the constant availability of this right to be exercised has any detrimental impact upon freedom or democracy. This means that section 1 of the Charter could not be invoked to make it lawful for a legislative assembly to deny this right for any period of time.

As long as I am held responsible to the laws created by the legislative assembly of the ISS, then the only way that my section 3 Charter rights are denied for any period of time is if laws are imposed upon me that are created by a legislative assembly that does deny section 3 Charter rights for periods of time. This includes the Canada Income Tax Act, which is created by a legislative assembly that denies section 3 Charter rights for periods of time. Also, the choices as to how those taxes are spent are made in a fashion which denies section 3 Charter rights for periods of time. Therefore I believe it to be unconstitutional for the Canada Revenue Agency to

collect taxes from me subject to the Canada Income Tax Act, and I have therefore had no dealings with the agency for several years.

At such time that either election laws in Canada are amended so as to cease the periodic prohibition of section 3 Charter rights or else justification is demonstrated as to how this limit on fundamental democratic rights serves freedom or democracy, I fully intend to responsibly act in accordance with all tax laws. Also, if my membership in the ISS is terminated for any reason, then I believe that this will also make me responsible to income tax laws in Canada, as I share the belief that it is neither justifiable nor acceptable for an individual to live lawlessly.

The ISS has a Prime Representative, interactively elected by the members of the society, who presides over the society's legislative functions. I have recently been elected to this position and I am scheduled to take office on June 3, 2015. One of my principal goals in this position is to assure members who are aware of my abstinence from dealings with the Canada Revenue Agency that they may also have the denial of their section 3 Charter rights in Canada remedied by choosing to cease dealings with this agency, instead having their contributions determined by the laws of the ISS, and the allocations of those contributions decided by the ISS's legislative assembly.

The members of the ISS share Canada with residents who do make contributions to Canada Revenue Agency, and as Prime Representative of the ISS, I wish to ensure that contributions of ISS members to public works are respectfully commensurate with other residents' contributions. I intend to maintain respectful diplomatic relations with any members of the Canadian government who are willing to compare allocations of contributions so that this is achieved. I must of course remain responsible to the electorate of the ISS in my decisions, but I believe I have their support in my efforts to achieve a reasonable and responsible relationship with the Crown to their satisfaction. Some of them do in fact prefer to continue to make their contributions to the Canada Revenue Agency. These members would of course not wish to see any unfair disparity between their contributions for the public good in Canada versus the members whose contributions are made exclusively to the ISS. I trust any objections in this matter would be made transparently known to the Crown by those members, and if so, I intend to answer for them.

I would like to hear back from you to know if any of the assertions I've made herein seem incorrect or dissatisfactory to you.

Thank you.

Sam Frank