

Loved, trusted, and respected reader,

I have been elected to the position of Prime Representative of the Interactive Sovereign Society. This is a statement of my intentions while serving in this role. I am scheduled to take office on June 3, 2015. If there is any dissatisfaction with the intentions I express, then any member may cast a vote for the present acting Prime Representative, His Excellency Rick Durie, so that He keeps his position.

The reason I received Rick's vote, which gave Me the leading number of votes and elected Me to this position, was that I wrote a letter to send to the Canadian Minister of National Revenue and offered to send it on behalf of the society if elected Prime Representative. I have attached that letter to the end of this statement. I see my time as Prime Representative as being exclusively mandated for sending this letter and then carrying out whatever further actions are necessary on behalf of the society to help our members achieve what amounts to no taxation without representation. In Canada our section 3 Charter rights are our method of being represented in our government. Denying those rights for four years at a time effectively leaves Us unrepresented during that time.

At such time that I have completed dealing with the Minister of National Revenue on the intentions I have expressed in my letter, then I believe that the Interactive Sovereign Society should find a new Prime Representative to bring another new direction to our society. My success will mean that substantial funding may become available to the society, as Canadians will have the option to cease paying taxes to the Canada Revenue Agency and instead make contributions to the Interactive Sovereign Society in the same amounts. How We use this funding remains entirely up to Us, but I suggest that We remain clear that We have a responsibility in Canada to contribute to many of the same public works as any taxpayer, including social services, education, transportation infrastructure, and others. In addition to fulfilling these responsibilities, I believe that there will be much opportunity to invest funds into this society's interests, as motivated by the principles that our society's members serve.

Ever since our first Prime Representative, His Excellency Darren Childs, wrote the first draft of our Summation of Principles, our laws, I have always felt that the principle of empowering marginalized People should end up as our focus. It is possible that having Darren elected as Prime Representative again in future might be a good illustration of the democratic nature of the interactive electoral system while also bringing back a voice that will make this principle a top priority. The project He has taken on since leaving office, the Power to Empower, is aimed at providing employment opportunities for People with mobility impairments, a task that gets right to the heart of marginalization of People in society. I believe that encouraging Darren to speak in detail about People He knows personally, the challenges They face, the skills They have that He admires, and the valuable contributions He believes They could make to society, would be an excellent way to stimulate this potential future direction of the Interactive Sovereign Society. Nothing pleases Me more than hearing Darren speak about People He admires that face their marginalized circumstances with courage.

Perhaps what has impressed Me most about watching the Interactive Sovereign Society's history unfold has been the ability of the society's membership to come up with ingenious ways to render the role of an elected official more and more obsolete. It so happens that on the date that I am receiving the vote from Rick Durie, a modification has just come into effect to our judicial protocol, Inter-sovereign Relations, which limits the powers of our Prime Representative

by making Her or Him accountable through our courts, as presided over by our judiciary, for upholding our Charter. The Charter is the responsibilities of the society's representatives to the membership, and any member who does not believe that the Prime Representative is upholding the Charter can now contact one of our judicial panel chairs and ask for a court date to have the Prime Representative instructed by the court to alter her or his decisions accordingly. I encourage every member to read the Charter and reflect on the responsibilities that this society's elected officials can be held to through our courts.

I am grateful to every member of our judiciary, including our Chief of Justice, His Honour Bryan Jacobs, for being the backbone of our society. Because our Constitution states that love, trust, and mutual respect are necessary qualities for sovereignty to exist, if any member of our society feels unloved, untrusted, or disrespected by any other member, then our judiciary are here to offer an opportunity to heal that relationship. Anything You might ask of any other member to give You a feeling of love, trust, and respect, You should feel free to ask. If that member refuses to accord with your wishes, then it is up to this society to ask that member how We can help make it worth their while to help perpetuate our society's fundamental guiding values. It is your ability to show love, trust, and respect that make You welcome in our society.

I would like to put in a note of appreciation to the previous Prime Representatives I haven't yet mentioned. Katrina MacAstocker served the society in 2012 and streamlined our Summation of Principles nicely as it was growing cumbersome. Carol Smith took office in the fall of 2012 and introduced the Public Record with a system of making decisions by motions of the membership in which each member has a vote, instead of the Prime Representative simply making decisions and allowing electoral support to serve as the only sign of approval of the membership.

One further important point to discuss is that I have been the Secretary since the society's inception. It is generally accepted as the Secretary's duty to act as the main communicator to the electorate so that no candidate takes advantage of having more public visibility as a way of gaining political advantage. If any member is willing to take over as Secretary, I ask You to please make it known. If not, then hopefully my work addressing the Minister of National Revenue can be done quickly and We can find another Prime Representative and I can go back to being Secretary.

Thanks to Rick Durie for his willingness to act on behalf of the Interactive Sovereign Society for over a year now. If there is one quality that I would most wish to be inspired by from Rick, it would be the ability to show patience and respect when occasional circumstances might otherwise cause Me to act a tad confrontationally. Rick's easygoing and friendly manner are by far the most important qualities in an elected representative, in my opinion, and though I have the technical expertise to act on behalf of this society with regard to the matter at hand, I encourage members to remind Me of the standard Rick has set if ever I appear angry or frustrated. A mild manner is the most powerful asset of a small actor, and in Canada, even with Charter protection, the Interactive Sovereign Society will likely remain a small actor for a long time to come.

Thank You for reading.

Psam Frank

Sam Frank  
#204 - 2222 Cambridge St  
Vancouver BC  
V5L 1E6

The Honourable Kerry-Lynne D. Findlay, P.C., Q.C., M.P.  
Minister of National Revenue  
7th Floor  
555 MacKenzie Avenue  
Ottawa ON K1A 0L5

**cc:** The Honourable Murray Rankin  
Opposition Critic for National Revenue  
House of Commons  
Ottawa ON K1A 0A6

Honourable Minister,

I am writing to inform you of my situation at present in relation to the Canada Revenue Agency as it relates to my rights under section 3 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms ("the Charter").

Section 3 of the Charter states that every citizen of Canada has the right to vote in an election of members of a legislative assembly. Every legislative assembly in Canada provides the means to exercise this right on one arbitrarily chosen date and then no means is provided to exercise this right for several years. This is an unnecessary denial of this right, as illustrated by a society, of which I am a member, which has a legislative assembly for which section 3 Charter rights are available to be exercised by every member of the society at any time that she or he wishes.

I refer to the method of allowing a voter to cast her or his vote for a candidate for a legislative assembly at any time that the voter wishes and then change it at any time thereafter as an *interactive electoral system* (IES). The right guaranteed in section 3 of the Charter is thus never denied for any period of time. One society presently using this system is the Interactive Sovereign Society (ISS). This society has now existed in Canada for over four years.

During the time of the society's existence and its usage of the interactive electoral system, no justification has been demonstrated by members or observers for the right to vote in an election of members of a legislative assembly to be denied for any period of time. It does not appear from experience thus far as if the constant availability of this right to be exercised has any detrimental impact upon freedom or democracy. This means that section 1 of the Charter could not be invoked to make it lawful for a legislative assembly to deny this right for any period of time.

As long as I am held responsible to the laws created by the legislative assembly of the ISS, then the only way that my section 3 Charter rights are denied for any period of time is if laws are imposed upon me that are created by a legislative assembly that does deny section 3 Charter rights for periods of time. This includes the Canada Income Tax Act, which is created by a legislative assembly that denies section 3 Charter rights for periods of time. Also, the choices as to how those taxes are spent are made in a fashion which denies section 3 Charter rights for periods of time. Therefore I believe it to be unconstitutional for the Canada Revenue Agency to

collect taxes from me subject to the Canada Income Tax Act, and I have therefore had no dealings with the agency for several years.

At such time that either election laws in Canada are amended so as to cease the periodic prohibition of section 3 Charter rights or else justification is demonstrated as to how this limit on fundamental democratic rights serves freedom or democracy, I fully intend to responsibly act in accordance with all tax laws. Also, if my membership in the ISS is terminated for any reason, then I believe that this will also make me responsible to income tax laws in Canada, as I share the belief that it is neither justifiable nor acceptable for an individual to live lawlessly.

The ISS has a Prime Representative, interactively elected by the members of the society, who presides over the society's legislative functions. I have recently been elected to this position and I am scheduled to take office on June 3, 2015. One of my principal goals in this position is to assure members who are aware of my abstinence from dealings with the Canada Revenue Agency that they may also have the denial of their section 3 Charter rights in Canada remedied by choosing to cease dealings with this agency, instead having their contributions determined by the laws of the ISS, and the allocations of those contributions decided by the ISS's legislative assembly.

The members of the ISS share Canada with residents who do make contributions to Canada Revenue Agency, and as Prime Representative of the ISS, I wish to ensure that contributions of ISS members to public works are respectfully commensurate with other residents' contributions. I intend to maintain respectful diplomatic relations with any members of the Canadian government who are willing to compare allocations of contributions so that this is achieved. I must of course remain responsible to the electorate of the ISS in my decisions, but I believe I have their support in my efforts to achieve a reasonable and responsible relationship with the Crown to their satisfaction. Some of them do in fact prefer to continue to make their contributions to the Canada Revenue Agency. These members would of course not wish to see any unfair disparity between their contributions for the public good in Canada versus the members whose contributions are made exclusively to the ISS. I trust any objections in this matter would be made transparently known to the Crown by those members, and if so, I intend to answer for them.

I would like to hear back from you to know if any of the assertions I've made herein seem incorrect or dissatisfactory to you.

Thank you.

Sam Frank