

FROM:

Psam Frank
#305 - 2929 Nootka St
Vancouver BC V5M 4K4
phone: 604-765-1496
email: psamfrank@gmail.com

TO:

attn: Constable Eric Sombrano
attn: Sergeant Colin Naismith
Vancouver Police Department (VPD)
2120 Cambie St.
Vancouver, B.C. V5Z 4N6
email: eric.sombrano@vpd.ca
email: colin.naismith@vpd.ca

Public Prosecution Service of Canada (PPSC)
British Columbia Regional Office (Vancouver)
Robson Court
900 - 840 Howe Street
Vancouver, BC V6Z 2S9
phone: 604-666-5250
email: ppscscoru@ppsc-sppc.gc.ca

attn: hon. Jean-Yves Duclos,
Canada Minister of Health
attn: hon. Carolyn Bennett,
Canada Minister of Mental Health and Addictions
Health Canada
Address Locator 0900C2
Ottawa, ON K1A 0K9
phone: 613-957-2991
toll free: 1-800-465-7735
email: hcminister.ministresc@hc-sc.gc.ca
email: mhaminister.ministresmd@hc-sc.gc.ca

attn: hon. Sheila Malcolmson
BC Minister of Mental Health and Addictions
PO Box 9644 Stn Prov Govt
Victoria, BC V8W 9P1
phone: 778-584-3474
email: MMHA.Minister@gov.bc.ca

Honourable and esteemed servants of the People of Canada,

I have previously provided a written constitutional defence, to all authorities addressed herein, for a confession to possession of roughly twenty grams of cocaine with the intention to traffic.

My main purpose was to request that the law be prescribed for me, as I believe members of government are required to do according to section 1 of the *Constitution Act, 1982*; specifically, I would like to know whether my constitutional defence for my conduct is sufficiently consistent with prior judicial precedent to warrant abstention from action in response by authorities.

In a recent [discussion](#) [at 1:40] with a VPD officer by telephone (file #VA22-71910), I was informed that, "In terms of trying to prove a trafficking case, we'll need more than just a confession and a bag of 20 grams of cocaine. Usually we'll do, like, observations of hand to hand transactions and a few other types of investigational tools...". So I have now made my first sale of the cocaine in my possession, just over a gram, which I offered in an auction that lasted several months, with the winning bid being twelve dollars. I took a video of the drug and the cash changing hands between my hand and the winning bidder's hand, to most resemble a "hand to hand transaction" as I was informed by the VPD officer is necessary to achieve a standard of proof for a trafficking case that would satisfy a court, so that the only remaining reasonable explanation for the lack of action against me by authorities would be the plausibility

of the constitutional arguments I have forwarded in my defence. If authorities are not willing to prescribe the law for me, as I believe the Constitution states that they are required to do, then the only option available to me, that I know of, is to attempt to receive their tacit acquiescence to the potential validity of my defence through their lack of response to my actions, though I hope I am exercising this option with the optimal suitable respect for all concerned parties, despite having been called an idiot by a Senator with no further clarification as to which specific part of my constitutional rationale might equate to idiocy.

Since commencing the auction for the gram of cocaine I have now sold, I have purchased an additional twenty grams of cocaine and then I have heard that an exemption has been announced in the Province of British Columbia for possession of up to two point five grams of cocaine for personal use, effective January 31, 2023. I therefore decided to wait to sell the rest of the cocaine until after the exemption comes into effect, so that anyone who wishes to buy from me has the assurance that they shall not receive any attention or intervention by authorities no matter how publicly I present the details of these further sales.

Since section 24 of the *Criminal Code of Canada* states that “Every one who, having an intent to commit an offence, does or omits to do anything for the purpose of carrying out the intention is guilty of an attempt to commit the offence whether or not it was possible under the circumstances to commit the offence”, I believe that my continuing possession of twenty grams of cocaine with the stated intention to traffic can be verified as a genuine intention now that I have sold my first gram, and therefore according to section 24 of the Code, I would already be guilty of the intention to traffic the twenty grams of cocaine still in my possession (if my constitutional defence was found invalid by courts) in addition to being guilty of trafficking for the first gram that I sold. Also, since section 5(b) of the *Controlled Drugs and Substances Act* states that “No person shall, for the purpose of trafficking, possess a substance included in Schedule I, II, III, IV or V”, and I possess a great deal more than the 2.5g threshold recently announced by the Health Ministry of Canada to distinguish between amounts for personal use versus amounts that require proof of necessity for personal use to avoid qualifying as trafficking, I believe that courts would agree that the evidence publicly available as to my conduct at this point would be sufficient to warrant conviction unless my constitutional defence is regarded as holding merit in courts’ view.

Please look at the video I posted publicly online, at <https://youtu.be/R8SJ-dujllg>, to see footage of a hand to hand transaction between myself and the winning bidder in the auction for the first gram of cocaine I sold. If the response by authorities to this video is similar to the responses to previous confessions then I wish to respectfully make my dissent known to what I believe to be a contravention of the Crown’s supreme law of Canada by authorities, regarding the requirement that the law be prescribed, as per section 1 of the *Constitution Act, 1982*. I wish to know whether my constitutional defence is relevant to lack of action against me by authorities and I respectfully advise authorities that it appears to me to be a violation of the law for them to fail to reveal this to me.

In my previous correspondence with addressees herein, I stated that I would be quite happy upon request to surrender the remaining drugs presently in my possession to authorities so that those drugs can be used as evidence in charges against me for trafficking, in response to which I would gratefully invoke my constitutional defence to test whether courts affirm its validity. As previously stated, I would prefer either to see constitutional reference questions addressed (as requested in previous correspondence found at <http://issociety.org/wp-content/uploads/Attorney-General-federal.pdf>) or else to receive a letter from an appropriate official such as the Director of Public Prosecutions indicating an intention to refrain from action against me for conduct protected under the defence I have provided (as requested in previous correspondence found at <http://issociety.org/wp-content/uploads/prosecutions-director.pdf>). I do not expect these aspects of my conduct and intentions to change and I would give clear prior notice to authorities of any such changes.

I previously submitted correspondence to authorities addressed herein informing them of my intention to sell the remaining 20 grams of cocaine in my possession following the conclusion of the now completed auction. This correspondence may be found at <http://issociety.org/wp-content/uploads/VPD-re-cocaine-sale.pdf>, and my intentions and defence are stated therein. These intentions remain unchanged at the time of this subsequent correspondence. If constitutional reference questions are instigated for consideration by courts as discussed above, then I intend to cease and desist these intentions pending judicial decisions as to the validity of my defence or lack thereof.

My constitutional defence can be found in Appendix A of the Canada Interactive Legislature *Charter*, which can be found at <http://issociety.org/wp-content/uploads/CIL-Charter.pdf>, starting on page 10.

I shall patiently continue to await any response clarifying whether lack of response by authorities to my actions and intentions can be explained by any other reasoning than the constitutional defence I have submitted. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully,

Psam Frank