

Fellow citizen of Canada,

I have confessed to Canadian authorities (police, prosecutions) that I have been selling cocaine for several months now.

They have not shown any intention to intervene.

I don't know of any reason why they wouldn't intervene, other than that my constitutional defence (which I'll explain) appears likely to succeed in court, so prosecuting me would therefore be an unsuitable devotion of Crown effort.

My defence is that:

- the government denies the right to vote for four years at a time, but the Canada Interactive Legislature (CIL) makes this right available at all times, and
- the CIL has enacted different laws from the Crown's laws regarding controlled drugs and substances, and
- by being subjected to the CIL's legislation instead of the Crown's, I am no longer denied the right to vote for periods of time.

The CIL uses an interactive electoral system (IES): one vote, cast for any candidate any time you like, change it to any other candidate any time after that, no deadline, no finish date. Fundamental democratic rights are never denied.

Are you dissatisfied with my cocaine sales? You can engage the democratic process to seek to have me ordered to alter, reduce, or terminate my drug sales, and I will abide if authorities make such an order. Read on to find out how.

Here are two suggested ways that you could seek to have my cocaine sales more stringently regulated, or disallowed altogether:

- find someone to run against me as a candidate for the position of Lead Representative of the CIL (perhaps yourself); seek votes for that candidate; if elected, the candidate can pursue the legislative process to amend CIL drug legislation, or
- observe the operation of the IES to look for evidence of a detrimental impact upon an objective of pressing and substantial concern in a free and democratic society caused by allowing every participant to have constant access to their democratic rights; the Supreme Court of Canada has stated that it will allow democratic rights to be limited if these criteria are satisfied in the opinion of courts; evidence of this, brought to courts, may negate my defence.

I have devoted intense study to the above subjects and I am willing to exhaustively offer any assistance to the best of my abilities about the details of pursuing either of the above avenues.

My next major effort will be to discontinue paying my taxes to the Crown and instead pay similar amounts to the CIL (more, if I have my way), so that I am not denied my democratic rights for periods of time regarding decisions about allocations of the taxes I contribute.

If authorities have abstained from intervention in my drug sales based upon the above defence, then maybe they will also abstain from any action against me for diverting my taxes so as to remedy the denials of my democratic rights. So if you are dissatisfied with the possibility of my taxes being redirected in this way, then the above two methods of seeking to alter the drug laws that I am governed by may also be used in relation to my taxes.

I would like to discuss the reasons why I am selling cocaine. Please turn the page.

It is presently illegal for me to make any profit selling cocaine because it would violate conflict of interest laws. As the Lead Representative of the CIL (presently by acclamation), I am the chief public authority on how the CIL enacts its laws, specifically drug laws, but at the same time, as a licensee of the CIL for drug sales, I am governed by those same laws. Canadian conflict of interest laws do not allow an elected person to participate in the enactment of laws concerning any business in which the person profits.

The CIL does not allow its adherents to violate any Crown laws for which the CIL has not explicitly enacted alternative laws. Therefore if I violate Crown laws on matters of conflict of interest, it may void my defence. So, if I was to profit from my transparent and accountable cocaine sales, and someone would bring evidence of this to authorities, then I would likely be successfully prosecuted for violating conflict of interest laws as well as drug trafficking laws.

If profit is not my motive, what is? Primarily: contribute to the perpetuation of a cycle of wellness among other people. There are courts that can hold me to this. Ask me for details if you're interested.

When I inform someone, who is not a user, of my cocaine sales, that person may know someone else who is a user, who may be in danger because of numerous lethal poisons in the drug supply, who might benefit from having access to a supply that is tested, to greatly improve the likelihood that the user is not harmed or killed by poisoned drugs. The non-user can introduce their endangered loved one or acquaintance to this method of gaining a safe supply to better protect their health and their life.

When I encounter a cocaine user, my motive is not to sell cocaine to the user myself, but rather to encourage the user to make it known to any other dealer, from whom they have previously bought drugs, that if the dealer applies for a license from the CIL and successfully receives it, then as long as the dealer abides by CIL regulations for drug sales, the dealer may no longer have to be concerned about intervention by authorities into their transactions.

If another dealer receives a license from the CIL and is willing to be as publicly transparent about their sales as I am presently being, then I intend to relinquish my own license so as to remove any possible conflict of interest, or appearance thereof, and act exclusively in the capacities of Lead Representative of the CIL, to receive concerns and suggestions of Canadians as to how to alter CIL legislation to better safeguard the wellness of drug users and reduce or eliminate any undesirable impacts that they may have upon society.

It has been estimated that Switzerland and Portugal, where hard drugs have been decriminalized for many years, have seen an 80% reduction in drug related deaths. That is the aspiration of the CIL, in accordance with the principle of the Cycle of Wellness that the CIL has agreed to be guided by.

The regulations that CIL licensees must adhere to in their drug sales to invoke the same constitutional defence, so that authorities may be similarly expected not to intervene in their sales or take any action against them such as prosecution, can be found at <http://issociety.org/wp-content/uploads/Commerce-Charter-drugs-and-substances.pdf> As Lead Representative of the CIL, I am at your service if you conceive of alterations to these regulations that would better provide for the wellness of users and members of society in general. We will give deliberation to any such suggestions.

The CIL Charter states that the CIL "shall regard previous denials of fundamental democratic rights of human beings for sustained periods of time as crimes against humanity for which some form and extent of remedy, authoritative and officially expressed apology at a minimum, is lawfully warranted." If you have previously been complicit in the denials of these rights by consensually paying taxes to an institution that imposes its governance upon people while denying their democratic rights for years at a time, then **I shall regard the time you take, to read this correspondence and reasonably consider its implications, as a full apology rendered in good faith for your prior endorsement of this injustice. I shall then apologize for any part of my efforts to acquire this remedy for the denial of my rights that caused you any dissatisfaction, henceforth showing cordiality and appreciation to you as a fellow law abiding citizen of Canada.**

I offer my humble gratitude to you for having taken the time to read this and I make myself available to discuss any other laws of Canada that do not meet your satisfaction, so that we may consider altering those laws for our adherents, and then by agreeing to abide by the CIL's alternative laws, you may be exempted from the laws that you disapprove of.